



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	ICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION		
10/749,999	12/31/2003	Remesh Seth Nair	42P17662	9952	
8791	7590 06/23/2006	EXAMINER			
	SOKOLOFF TAYLOR &	DU, THUAN N			
SEVENTH F	HIRE BOULEVARD LOOR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			2116		
			DATE MAILED: 06/23/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/749,999		NAIR ET AL.				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			Thuan N. Du		2116				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appe	ears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn o period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DA of 37 CFR 1.130 nunication. atutory period wi will, by statute, of	TE OF THIS CO 6(a). In no event, howe ill apply and will expire S cause the application to	MMUNICATION ver, may a reply be tim SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed he mailing date of this o) (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 31 De	cember 2003.						
·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)	,—								
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	ion of Claims								
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.								
7)									
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or	election requiren	nent.					
Applicati	ion Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.							
·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:			ected to by the E	xaminer.				
	Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the d	rawing(s) be held i	n abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction	on is required if the	drawing(s) is obje	ected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign p	oriority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-	·(d) or (f).				
_	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		•	,					
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents	have been recei	ved.	•				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies	of the priorit	ty documents ha	ve been receive	d in this National	Stage			
	application from the Internatio	nal Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office actio	n for a list o	of the certified co	oies not receive	.				
Attache	4(a)				•				
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		л. г.	ntondous Corres	DTO 440\				
	e of Caftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)		nterview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat					
3) 🔲 Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date		5) 🔲 1		itent Application (PTC)-152)			
. upc			۷ <u> </u>	·					

Art Unit: 2116

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-30 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 6-9, 11-13, 16-21, 24-28 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klimenko (U.S. Patent No. 5,974,547) and Haskins et al. [Haskins] (U.S. Patent No. 6,240,169).
- 4. Regarding claims 1 and 8, Klimenko teaches a method comprising: requesting boot image data from a server [col. 6, lines 29-32]; receiving the boot image data from the server [col. 6, lines 32-35]; and storing the boot image data in a memory [col. 9, lines 5-6].

Klimenko does not explicitly teach that a memory address region is provided by the server. One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that this could be done by sending the memory address information along with the boot image data from the server.

Haskins teaches a method comprising:

requesting, from a client, data stored in a server [col. 19, lines 50-51; col. 20, lines 35-36]; and

Art Unit: 2116

receiving the data and memory address region from the server [col. 19, lines 52-54; col. 20, lines 37-40].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of Klimenko to include the memory address region provided from the server as taught by Haskins. The modification would increase the flexibility of the system by allowing the system to store the received data into a memory location not only designated by the client but also designated by the server.

- 5. Regarding claim 2, Klimenko teaches the initializing an operating system [col. 6, lines 33-35].
- 6. Regarding claims 3 and 11, Klimenko does not mention the utilization of a network bootstrap program during the remote boot process.
- 7. Regarding claim 6, Klimenko teaches that network data is directly loaded into system through network interface [col. 9, lines 62-65].
- 8. Regarding claims 7 and 9, Klimenko teaches that loading a bootloading [col. 7, lines 17-24].
- 9. Regarding claims 8, in addition to the rejection to claim 1 above, Klimenko further teaches packets are sent to and from the server [col. 9, line 66 col. 10, line 2; col. 10, lines 50-53].
- 10. Regarding claims 12, 13, 16-18, Klimenko and Haskins together teach the claimed method steps. Therefore, Klimenko and Haskins together teach the apparatus to implement the claimed method steps.

Art Unit: 2116

11. Regarding claims 19-21, 24-28 and 30, Klimenko and Haskins together teach the claimed method steps. Therefore, Klimenko and Haskins together teach the instructions for carrying out the claimed method steps.

12. Claims 4, 5, 10, 14, 15, 22, 23 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klimenko (U.S. Patent No. 5,974,547), Haskins et al. [Haskins] (U.S. Patent No. 6,240,169) and applicant's admission of prior art [AAPA].

Page 7, lines 2-7 of para. 0026 of the application's specification describes a conventional boot process. Therefore, this portion is considered as prior art admitted by applicant.

- 13. Regarding claim 4, AAPA teaches that the process comprising discovering a network protocol identifier [application's specification, p. 7, lines 2-3 of para. 0026].
- 14. Regarding claims 5 and 10, AAPA teaches that the process comprising operating utilizing PXE [application's specification, p. 7, lines 2-3 of para. 0026].
- 15. Regarding claims 14, 15, Klimenko, Haskins and AAPA together teach the claimed method steps. Therefore, Klimenko, Haskins and AAPA together teach the apparatus to implement the claimed method steps.
- 16. Regarding claims 22, 23 and 29, Klimenko, Haskins and AAPA together teach the claimed method steps. Therefore, Klimenko, Haskins and AAPA together teach the instructions for carrying out the claimed method steps.

Art Unit: 2116

Conclusion

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thuan N. Du whose telephone number is (571) 272-3673. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday: 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne H. Browne can be reached on (571) 272-3670.

Central TC telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

The fax number for the organization is (571) 273-8300.

18. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

TD

June 16, 2006

THUAN N. DU PRIMARY EXAMINER